Gulf Stream separation in ACCESS-OM2-01

Xihan Zhang

Supervisor Dr Andrew Kiss





Background: Gulf Stream Separation



Schoonover et al.2016

- The western boundary current along the east coast of the US and separates at Cape Hatteras
- It is predicted to decelerate in the future (Solomon et al. 2007)

- But the separation is not always captured by the numerical models (e.g. Schoonover et al.2016)
- The misrepresentation of the Gulf Stream can bring problems: overestimation of the temperature(Saba et al.2016), bias in predication of the sea level rise (Ezer 2016)

Background: ACCESS-OM2-01

Resolution

Horizontal resolution: 1/10°, eddy resolving

Vertical resolution: 75 levels, ranging from 1m at the surface to 200m at the bottom

Viscosity

Biharmonic friction Smagorinsky scaling viscosity (Griffies and Hallberg. 2000)

Wind forcing

Inter-annual forcing JRA55-do (Tsujino et al. 2018), simulating from 1985-2018

Initialised from a repeat year forcing spin-up, repeating 1984-1985 wind forcing

Background: Gulf Stream separation in ACCESS-OM2-01



• The Gulf Stream separates properly at about the first 20 years

Surface speed in ACCESS-OM2-01

• But it keeps overshooting in the last ten years

Background: Gulf Stream separation in ACCESS-OM2-01



Standard deviation of Sea Level for 4-year segment



- The erroneous in separation is steady
- High variability is because of the long-time current shifting

- One of the potential causes is insufficient inertia (Ozgokmen et al. 1997)
- High inertia can decouple the flow so the upper layer can cross f/h contour



Streamfunction in **high inertia** case (double wind stress)

(b) <Psi1>

Streamfunction in low inertia case



- An overview of the model performance in the GS: comparison with the observation (Rossby et al 2014)
- Transport per meter at 70°W
- The model underestimated the transport slightly





Is the wind forcing that leads to the insufficient inertia of the Gulf Stream?

Wind stress:	$\tau = \rho C_D \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$	U: wind velocity relative to surface current
Relative wind:	$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{o}}$	U _a : scatterometer measured wind velocity, JRA55-do is adjusted to match scatterometer wind
Absolute wind:	$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{a}}$	U _o : surface current velocity
Resolution: 1/4°		ρ : density C_D :drag coefficient



relative-absolute wind

Time-mean (1958-2018) barotropic streamfunction

relative-absolute wind



- The diploe difference shown around the Gulf Stream is because of the current shift
- There is little change in dynamics
- Wind forcing is not likely to be the cause of weak inertia of the Gulf Stream, at least for the 1/4° model

Streamlines of absolute wind simulation
Streamlines of relative wind simulation

Potential cause: Deep Western Boundary Current



- Another mechanism proposed by Ozgokmen et al. 1997 but overlooked by them is the northern recirculation gyre (NRG)
- Zhang & Vallis, 2007 suggest the NRG is important and produced by a downslope Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC) through vortex stretching



 This process is related to the Nordic Sea overflow and the AMOC Potential cause: Deep Western Boundary Current

The velocity at the entrance of the DWBC is weakening over time

Meridional velocity at 45°N



Potential cause: Deep Western Boundary Current

The deep circulation in the Nordic Sea is also weakening

AMOC drops quickly over time



Meridional velocity at 3000m

Summary

- Gulf Stream separates properly in the first 20 years but keeps overshooting in the last 10 years
- The problematic separation can be caused by the insufficient inertia of the Gulf Stream
- But the weak inertia is not likely to be induced by the wind forcing
- Another possible mechanism of the problematic separation is the weakening Deep Western Boundary Current
- The quick drop in the AMOC may be induced by the initial bias from the repeat year forcing spin-up

Reference

Ezer, T. (2016), 'Revisiting the problem of the Gulf Stream separation: On the representation of topography in ocean models with different types of vertical grids', Ocean Modelling 104, 15–27.

Griffies, S. M. & Hallberg, R. W. (2000), 'Biharmonic friction with a Smagorinsky-like viscosity for use in large-scale eddy-permitting ocean models', Monthly Weather Review 128(8), 2935–2946.

Özgökmen, T. M., Chassignet, E. P. & Paiva, A. M. (1997), 'Impact of wind forcing, bottom topography, and inertia on midlatitude jet separation in a quasigeostrophic model', Journal of physical oceanography 27(11), 2460–2476.

Saba, V. S., Griffies, S. M., Anderson, W. G., Winton, M., Alexander, M. A., Delworth, T. L., Hare, J. A., Harrison, M. J., Rosati, A., Vecchi, G. A. et al. (2016), 'Enhanced warming of the Northwest Atlantic Ocean under climate change', Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 121(1), 118–132.

Schoonover, J., Dewar, W., Wienders, N., Gula, J., McWilliams, J. C., Molemaker, M. J., Bates, S. C., Danabasoglu, G. & Yeager, S. (2016), 'North atlantic barotropic vorticity balances in numerical models', Journal of Physical Oceanography 46(1), 289–303.

Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., Miller, H. L. et al. (2007), 'Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change'.

Tsujino, H., Urakawa, S., Nakano, H., Small, R. J., Kim, W. M., Yeager, S. G., Danabasoglu, G., Suzuki, T., Bamber, J. L., Bentsen, M. et al. (2018), 'JRA-55 based surface dataset for driving ocean–sea-ice models (JRA55-do)', Ocean Modelling 130, 79–139.

Zhang, R. & Vallis, G. K. (2007), 'The role of bottom vortex stretching on the path of the north atlantic western boundary current and on the northern recirculation gyre', Journal of Physical Oceanography 37(8), 2053–2080.

Wind stress curl



Sverdrup transport



20 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 Longitude